EPRA sBPR REPORTING CONTINUED

Environmental performance measures continued

Landlord procured, controlled

Landlord procured, controlled by

Occupier procured fuels

Total landlord procured

by occupier

occupier

Fuels-LfL

Total

energy*

EPRA	Certificate	20	2022			2023			
indicator		Number of certificates	Organisat bounda flooi		Number of certificates	Orga	% of anisational boundary floor area		
Building ce	rtifications								
Caut Tat	BREEAM Certificates within Organisational Boundary								
Cert-Tot	BREEAM Excellent	1		11.0%		1	10.0%		
	BREEAM Interim Excellent	1		6.7%	-	3	25.7%		
	BREEAM Very Good	1		6.8%		1	6.5%		
	Total with Certification	3		24.6%		5	42.2%		
	BREEAM Certificates - Development projects (outside Organisational Boundary)								
	BREEAM Interim Excellent	_				3	n/a		
	EPC ratings within Organisational Boundary								
	EPC A	3		25.0%	14	1	35.5%		
	EPC B	1		7.4%		2	9.0%		
	EPC C	7		51.0%		6			
	EPC D	2		16.6%	1		12.7%		
	EPC E or below	_							
	Total	13	10	100.0%		3	100.0%		
EPRA Code	EPRA Code Measure		Managed portfolio		Like-for-like		e		
			2023	% change	2022	2023	% change		
Energy co	nsumption, MWh								
	Landlord procured electr	icity 3,88	2 5,371	38%	2,962	3,550	20%		
Elec-Abs, Elec-LfL	Landlord procured, contr	olled 3,27	2 4,037	23%	2,436	2,499	3%		
	Total on-site renewable electricity generation	15	5 127	(18)%	155	127	(18)%		
	Proportion of procured a generated electricity from	n		470/	200/	700/	F.F.2/		
	renewable sources	169		43%	20%	76%	55%		
	Occupier procured electr		a 2,761	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a		
DH&C-Abs	Landlord controlled areas	None of c	None of our assets are connected to or benefit from district heating and cooling.						
Fuels-Abs,	Landlord procured fuels	2,82	4 3,896	38%	2,492	2,758	11%		
	Landlord procured, contr	olled							

2,249

6,705

5,522

n/a

3,311

2,432

9,267

7,348

47%

n/a

38%

33%

1,918

n/a

5,454

4,353

2,173

n/a

6,308

4,672

13%

n/a

16%

7%

Total energy	EPRA Code	Measure	Managed portfolio			Like-for-like		
Section Comparison Compar			2022	2023	% change	2022	2023	% change
Proportion estimated 0.3% 1.5% 1.2% 0.3% 0.0% 0.5%	Total operavi	Landlord procured and						
Disclosure coverage / EPRA reporting boundary 100% 100% - 64% 55% (11)%	Total ellergy	generated	6,860	9,394	37%	5,608	6,435	15%
Reporting boundary 100% 100% — 64% 53% (11)%		Proportion estimated	0.3%	1.5%	1.2%	0.3%	0.0%	(0.3)%
Landlord procured and generated 0.34 0.36 6% 0.34 0.39 15% Occupier procured n/a 0.28 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a Occupier procured n/a 0.28 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a Occupier procured n/a 0.28 n/a n/a n/a n/a n/a Occupier procured n/a 0.28 n/a n/a n/a Occupier procured n/a n/a n/a n/a Occupier procured n/a n/a n/a n/a Occupier procured n/a n/			100%	100%	_	64%	53%	(11)%
## Supplemental Registrated 0.34 0.36 6% 0.34 0.39 15% 0.00 0.00 0.01 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.00 0.0	Energy intens	ity MWh/m²						
Compiler procured No. No	Energy Int	Landlord procured and						
Careenhouse gas emissions, tCO₂e Careenhouse gas emissions, tCO₂e	Energy-int	generated	0.34	0.36	6%	0.34	0.39	15%
Comparison Com		Occupier procured	n/a	0.28	n/a	n/a	n/a	n/a
Total indirect GHG emissions, scope 2 - location based 118 276 134% 102 218 114% 104 115% 106 134% 102 218 114% 104 104 104 104 105 107 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 104 10	Greenhouse g	as emissions, tCO ₂ e						
Total indirect GHG emissions, scope 2 - location based 118 276 134% 102 218 114% 104 114% 104 114% 104 114% 104 114% 104 114% 104 114% 104 114% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104% 104	GHG-Dir-Abs,	Total direct GHG emissions,						
Scope 2 - location based 118 276 134% 102 218 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114% 114%	GHG-Dir-LfL	scope 1	105	107	2%	105	107	2%
Abs, GHG-Indir-LfL Total indirect GHG emissions, scope 2 - market based 89 79 (11)% 60 1 (98)% Total indirect GHG emissions scope 3 (landlord procured occupier consumption) 1,043 1,442 38% 821 915 11% Total direct and indirect Scope 1 and 2 (location based)¹ 223 383 72% 207 325 57% GHG-Int² GHG-Int² GHG emissions intensity, scope 1 and 2, tonnes CO,e/ m² 0.01 0.01 10% 0.01 0.02 57% Water consumption, m³ Water-Abs, Water-LfL Total landlord procured 3,430 2,974 (13)% 3,430 2,974 (13)% 700 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100 100	GHG-Indir-		11.0	276	17 40/	100	210	11 / 0/
Scope 2 - market based 89 79 (11)% 60 1 (98)%		<u>'</u>	110	270	134%	102	210	11470
Total direct and indirect Scope 1 and 2 (location based) 223 383 72% 207 325 57%	Indir-LfL		89	79	(11)%	60	1	(98)%
Total direct and indirect Scope 1 and 2 (location based) 223 383 72% 207 325 57%		Total indirect GHG emissions						
Total direct and indirect Scope 1 and 2 (location based)¹ 223 383 72% 207 325 57% GHG-Int² and 2, tonnes CO₂e/ m² 0.01 0.01 10% 0.01 0.02 57% Water consumption, m³ Water-Abs, Water-LfL Total landlord procured 3,430 2,974 (13)% 3,430 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)% 2,974 (13)%								
Comparison		occupier consumption)	1,043	1,442	38%	821	915	11%
Company								
Water consumption, m³ Total landlord procured 3,430 2,974 (13)% 3,430 2,974 (13)% Water-Abs, Water-LfL Total landlord procured 3,430 2,974 (13)% 3,430 2,974 (13)% Water-LfL Proportion estimated 12% 4% (8)% 12% 4% (8)% Water-Int Water intensity, landlord procured, m³/m² 0.24 0.21 (13)% 0.24 0.21 (13)% 0.24 0.21 (13)% Landlord waste management, tonnes and proportion of waste disposal route, yround procured, m³/m² 0.24 0.21 (13)% 0.24 0.21 (13)% Waste-Abs, Waste-LfL Recycled or re-used 75 48 (36)% 14 12 (12)% Sent to landfill - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	and indirect	Scope 1 and 2 (location based) ¹	223	383	72%	207	325	57%
Water consumption, m³ Water-Abs, Water-LfL Total landlord procured 3,430 2,974 (13)% 3,430 2,974 (13)% Water-LfL Proportion estimated 12% 4% (8)% 12% 4% (8)% Water-LfL Disclosure coverage / EPRA reporting boundary 54% 45% (9)% 54% 45% (9)% Water-Int Water intensity, landlord procured, m³/m² 0.24 0.21 (13)% 0.24 0.21 (13)% Landlord waste management, tonnes and proportion of waste disposal route, waste-Abs, Waste-Abs, Waste-LfL Recycled or re-used 75 48 (36)% 14 12 (12)% Sent to landfill - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	GHG-Int ²		0.01	0.01	100/	0.01	0.00	F70/
Water-Abs, Water-LfL Total landlord procured 3,430 2,974 (13)% 3,430 2,974 (13)% Water-LfL Proportion estimated 12% 4% (8)% 12% 4% (8)% Water-LfL Disclosure coverage / EPRA reporting boundary 54% 45% (9)% 54% 45% (9)% Water-Int Water intensity, landlord procured, m³/m² 0.24 0.21 (13)% 0.24 0.21 (13)% Landlord waste management, tonnes and proportion of waste disposal route, waste-Abs, Waste-Abs, Waste-LfL Recycled or re-used 75 48 (36)% 14 12 (12)% Sent to landfill - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<		and 2, tonnes CO ₂ e/ m ²	0.01	0.01	10%	0.01	0.02	5/%
Water-LfL Proportion estimated 12% 4% (8)% 12% 4% (8)% Disclosure coverage / EPRA reporting boundary 54% 45% (9)% 54% 45% (9)% Water Int Water intensity, landlord procured, m³/m² 0.24 0.21 (13)% 0.24 0.21 (13)% Landlord waste management, tonnes and proportion of waste disposal route, % Waste-Abs, Waste-Abs, Waste-LfL Recycled or re-used 75 48 (36)% 14 12 (12)% Sent to landfill - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Water consun	nption, m³						
Water-LfL Proportion estimated 12% 4% (8)% 12% 4% (8)% Disclosure coverage / EPRA reporting boundary 54% 45% (9)% 54% 45% (9)% Water-Int Water intensity, landlord procured, m³/m² 0.24 0.21 (13)% 0.24 0.21 (13)% Landlord waste management, tonnes and proportion of waste disposal route, waste-Abs, Waste-Abs, Waste-LfL Recycled or re-used 75 48 (36)% 14 12 (12)% Maste-LfL Incineration with energy recovery 86 47 (45)% 22 18 (16)% Sent to landfill - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - <td>Water-Ahs</td> <td>Total landlord procured</td> <td>3,430</td> <td>2,974</td> <td>(13)%</td> <td>3,430</td> <td>2,974</td> <td>(13)%</td>	Water-Ahs	Total landlord procured	3,430	2,974	(13)%	3,430	2,974	(13)%
Disclosure coverage / EPRA reporting boundary 54% 45% (9)% 54% 45% (9)%		Proportion estimated	12%	4%	(8)%	12%	4%	(8)%
Water-Int Water intensity, landlord procured, m³/m² 0.24 0.21 (13)% 0.24 0.21 (13)% Landlord waste management, tonnes and proportion of waste disposal route, % Waste-Abs, Waste-LfL Recycled or re-used 75 48 (36)% 14 12 (12)% Sent to landfill - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -								
Water-Int procured, m³/m² 0.24 0.21 (13)% 0.24 0.21 (13)% Landlord waste management, tonnes and proportion of waste disposal route, % Waste-Abs, Waste-Abs, Waste-LfL Recycled or re-used 75 48 (36)% 14 12 (12)% Sent to landfill - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - </td <td></td> <td></td> <td>54%</td> <td>45%</td> <td>(9)%</td> <td>54%</td> <td>45%</td> <td>(9)%</td>			54%	45%	(9)%	54%	45%	(9)%
Naste-Abs, Waste-LfL	Water-Int		0.24	0.21	(13)%	0.24	0.21	(13)%
Waste-Abs, Waste-LfL Recycled or re-used 75 48 (36)% 14 12 (12)% Sent to landfill - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -<	I andlord was							
Waste-Abs, Waste-LfL Incineration with energy recovery 86 47 (45)% 22 18 (16)% Sent to landfill - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - - -	Landiola was							(12)%
Sent to landfill								
TOTAL 161 95 (41)% 36 30.4 (15)% Proportion estimated 51% 0.4% (51)% 11.5% 0.0% (12)% Disclosure coverage / EPRA reporting boundary 90% 75% 16% 64% 53% (11)% Recycled or re-used 46% 50% 4% 38% 39% 1%	Waste-LfL							
Proportion estimated 51% 0.4% (51)% 11.5% 0.0% (12)% Disclosure coverage / EPRA reporting boundary 90% 75% 16% 64% 53% (11)% Recycled or re-used 46% 50% 4% 38% 39% 1%			161	95	(41)%	36	30.4	(15)%
Disclosure coverage / EPRA reporting boundary 90% 75% 16% 64% 53% (11)% Recycled or re-used 46% 50% 4% 38% 39% 1%								
reporting boundary 90% 75% 16% 64% 53% (11)% Recycled or re-used 46% 50% 4% 38% 39% 1%		·						
			90%	75%	16%	64%	53%	(11)%
Incineration with energy recovery 54% 50% (4)% 62% 61% (1)%		Recycled or re-used	46%	50%	4%	38%	39%	1%
		Incineration with energy recovery	54%	50%	(4)%	62%	61%	(1)%

 $^{1. \ \ \, \}text{Scope 2 location based emissions used for reporting total emissions and GHG emissions intensity}.$

 $^{2. \ \, \}text{Only assets with full year data are considered for the calculation of GHG emissions intensity}.$

EPRA sBPR REPORTING CONTINUED

Social performance measures

The Group reports on all applicable EPRA sBPR social performance metrics. Being an externally managed company with no employees, disclosure is focused on the Board. There are some limits to the measures that can be reported regarding the Board. Social performance measures for the Investment Adviser are included, where indicated below, as whilst a separate legal entity, they handle all administrative duties related to the asset management of the portfolio.

EPRA Code	Measure	2023	2022
Diversity- Emp		Female 50%	Female 50%
	Board gender diversity %	Male 50%	Male 50%
		Female 64%	
	Investment Adviser gender diversity %	Male 36%	
Diversity- Pay	Board salary ratio of men to women, reported by median basic salary	(2.7)%	Not reported in 2022
	Investment Adviser ratio of men to women, reported by median basic salary	46.1%	
Investment A	Adviser		
Emp- Training	Employee training and development, average hours/year/employee	12	
Emp-Dev	Proportion of employees with performance appraisals	100%	
Emp-	New hires and rate of new employee hire	1 and 8%	Not reported in
Turnover	Total number of employee turnover and rate	1 and 8%	2022
H&S-Emp	Absentee rate (per days scheduled)	0.1%	
	Injury rate (per 100 hours worked)	_	
	Lost day rate		
	Number of work related fatalities		
Health and s	afety metrics (Group)		
H&S-Asset	Proportion of asset health and safety assessments	100%	100%
H&S-Comp	Asset health and safety compliance, number of incidents unresolved within the required timeframe	_	_
Comty-Eng	Community engagement, impact assessments and development programmes, proportion of assets	_	_



Governance performance measures

Governance performance measures relate to the Board. For full background information on the Group's governance performance measures, including a profile of the Board, a description of nomination procedures, and processes for managing potential conflicts of interest, please see pages 78 to 87.

Non-Executive Director Sally Ann Forsyth has significant experience relating to developing and implementing strategies to improve social and environmental impacts in the life science industry.

Richard Howell leads the ESG strategy at Primary Health Properties PLC including the development of a net zero carbon strategy focusing on five key steps to achieve this across the group's operational, development and asset management activities by 2030 and to help its occupiers achieve net zero carbon by 2040.

Michael Taylor as Director of the British Heart Foundation has significant experience relating to developing and implementing strategies to improve social and environmental impacts of funders in the life science sector.

EPRA Code	Measure	2023	2022
Composition	of the highest governance body		
Gov-Board	Number of executive Board members	4	4
COV Board	Number of non-executive Board members	4	4
	Average tenure on the governance body (months)	25	13
	Number of non-executive Board members with competencies relating to ESG topics	3	1
Gov-Select	Process for nominating and selecting the highest governance body	See corporate g	overnance section,
Gov-Col	Process for managing conflicts of interest	_	pages 78 to 87

